

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

# Agricultural and Forest Meteorology



# Landscape-level terrestrial methane flux observed from a very tall tower



Agricultura

Ankur R. Desai<sup>a,\*</sup>, Ke Xu<sup>a</sup>, Hanqin Tian<sup>b</sup>, Peter Weishampel<sup>c</sup>, Jonathan Thom<sup>a</sup>, Dan Baumann<sup>d</sup>, Arlyn E. Andrews<sup>e</sup>, Bruce D. Cook<sup>f</sup>, Jennifer Y. King<sup>g</sup>, Randall Kolka<sup>h</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Center for Climatic Research, University of Wisconsin-Madison, Madison, WI, USA

<sup>b</sup> International Center for Climate and Global Change Research, Auburn University, Auburn, AL, USA

<sup>c</sup> Great Lakes Domain, National Ecological Observatory Network, Inc., Land O Lakes, WI, USA

<sup>d</sup> Wisconsin Water Science Center, U.S. Geological Survey, Rhinelander, WI, USA

<sup>e</sup> Earth Systems Research Lab, National Oceanographic and Atmospheric Administration, Boulder, CO, USA

<sup>f</sup> Goddard Space Flight Center, National Aeronautics and Space Administration, Greenbelt, MD, USA

<sup>g</sup> Department of Geography, University of California, Santa Barbara, CA, USA

<sup>h</sup> USDA Forest Service Northern Research Station, Grand Rapids, MN, USA

#### ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 9 May 2014 Received in revised form 29 August 2014 Accepted 14 October 2014

Keywords: Methane Eddy covariance Regional flux Land-atmosphere

#### ABSTRACT

Simulating the magnitude and variability of terrestrial methane sources and sinks poses a challenge to ecosystem models because the biophysical and biogeochemical processes that lead to methane emissions from terrestrial and freshwater ecosystems are, by their nature, episodic and spatially disjunct. As a consequence, model predictions of regional methane emissions based on field campaigns from short eddy covariance towers or static chambers have large uncertainties, because measurements focused on a particular known source of methane emission will be biased compared to regional estimates with regards to magnitude, spatial scale, or frequency of these emissions. Given the relatively large importance of predicting future terrestrial methane fluxes for constraining future atmospheric methane growth rates, a clear need exists to reduce spatiotemporal uncertainties. In 2010, an Ameriflux tower (US-PFa) near Park Falls, WI, USA, was instrumented with closed-path methane flux measurements at 122 m above ground in a mixed wetland-upland landscape representative of the Great Lakes region. Two years of flux observations revealed an average annual methane (CH<sub>4</sub>) efflux of  $785 \pm 75$  mg C–CH<sub>4</sub> m<sup>-2</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup>, compared to a mean CO<sub>2</sub> sink of -80 g C-CO<sub>2</sub> m<sup>-2</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup>, a ratio of 1% in magnitude on a mole basis. Interannual variability in methane flux was 30% of the mean flux and driven by suppression of methane emissions during dry conditions in late summer 2012. Though relatively small, the magnitude of the methane source from the very tall tower measurements was mostly within the range previously measured using static chambers at nearby wetlands, but larger than a simple scaling of those fluxes to the tower footprint. Seasonal patterns in methane fluxes were similar to those simulated in the Dynamic Land Ecosystem Model (DLEM), but magnitude depends on model parameterization and input data, especially regarding wetland extent. The model was unable to simulate short-term (sub-weekly) variability. Temperature was found to be a stronger driver of regional CH<sub>4</sub> flux than moisture availability or net ecosystem production at the daily to monthly scale. Taken together, these results emphasize the multi-timescale dependence of drivers of regional methane flux and the importance of long, continuous time series for their characterization.

© 2014 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

# 1. Introduction

The contribution of microbial methane  $(CH_4)$  from wetlands remains a significant source of uncertainty in closing the global

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2014.10.017 0168-1923/© 2014 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved. methane budget (Mikaloff Fletcher et al., 2004). In particular, wetland methane emissions may contribute as much as 25-40% of global CH<sub>4</sub> anthropogenic emissions and are the leading source of interannual variability in atmospheric CH<sub>4</sub> (Bousquet et al., 2006; Chen and Prinn, 2006; Crill et al., 1993). The recent increase in the growth rate of atmospheric CH<sub>4</sub> lends particular urgency to improving global simulations and inversions of the terrestrial methane source (Chen and Prinn, 2006; Collins et al., 2006). One

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author. Tel: +1 608 520 0305; fax: +1 608 262 0166. *E-mail address:* desai@aos.wisc.edu (A.R. Desai).

set of hypothesized mechanisms is the role of warming of high latitudes and wetting of the tropics (Dlugokencky et al., 2009). Because CH<sub>4</sub> emissions are closely linked to changes in regional hydrology and temperature, and ongoing climate changes are likely to have a significant impact on regional water tables and wetland soil temperatures, there is a high likelihood that climate change will affect wetland CH<sub>4</sub> emissions (Roulet et al., 1992; Sulman et al., 2009).

Model results provide motivation for long-term in situ observations of terrestrial CH<sub>4</sub> sources and sinks. However, virtually all in situ measurements of surface to atmosphere CH<sub>4</sub> flux have been conducted either at the plot scale, typically with chamberbased measurements (e.g., Jungkunst and Fiedler, 2007), or more recently at the ecosystem scale, particularly with eddy covariance flux towers (e.g., Hatala et al., 2012). In contrast, atmospheric tracer-transport inversions (e.g., Bergamaschi et al., 2010; Miller et al., 2013), global ecosystem models (e.g., Matthews and Fung, 1987; Tang et al., 2010; Tian et al., 2010), and global remote sensing based estimates of CH<sub>4</sub> sources (e.g., Bloom et al., 2010) are provided at much larger spatial scales. Consequently, a scale mismatch arises for evaluation across methods. This scale mismatch is particularly difficult for CH<sub>4</sub> because of fine-scale spatial heterogeneity of CH<sub>4</sub> sources and sinks and sampling biases toward known CH<sub>4</sub> sources (e.g. peatlands).

The primary objective of this study is to evaluate the first very tall tower continuous eddy covariance flux measurement of  $CH_4$  in a regional landscape. Further, we compared the magnitude and variability of these observations to plot-scale wetland and forest observations and model simulations. In late 2010, we instrumented a very tall tower in northern Wisconsin USA to observe  $CH_4$  fluxes at 122 m above the ground and  $CH_4$  concentration at 3 heights, sampling a spatially heterogeneous mix of upland forest and lowland wetland systems (Fig. 1). The site has been measuring  $CO_2$  and  $H_2O$  eddy fluxes at this height and two others since 1996.

Since the pioneering studies using tunable diode laser spectroscopy-based eddy covariance for CH<sub>4</sub> fluxes (Fowler et al., 1995; Kim et al., 1998; Shurpali and Verma, 1998; Suyker et al., 1996), there have been a growing number of publications based on short-term CH<sub>4</sub> flux observations (e.g., Friborg et al., 2003; Hargreaves et al., 2001; Nicolini et al., 2013). With the development of reliable, low-drift, closed and open path methane analyzers (McDermitt et al., 2011), it is now possible to maintain long time series of CH<sub>4</sub> fluxes (e.g., Baldocchi et al., 2012; Hatala et al., 2012; Olson et al., 2013; Rinne et al., 2007; Smeets et al., 2009; Wille et al., 2008). None of these measurements have been made at the landscape scale (25–100 km<sup>2</sup>) from a very tall tower, and only a subset of these studies report simultaneously on CH<sub>4</sub>, CO<sub>2</sub>, and H<sub>2</sub>O flux measurements.

The value of continuous observations at landscape scales is to directly observe to what extent episodic and spatially heterogeneous emissions influence the net annual budget of biospheric CH<sub>4</sub> fluxes. Only continuous observations, for example, can regularly capture (or record) pulses of CH<sub>4</sub> (e.g., after a rainstorm or during ebullition events) (Strack and Waddington, 2008) along with non-growing season fluxes, which may also be substantial (Pelletier et al., 2007; Yu et al., 2007).

We seek to understand the nature of regional or landscape-scale net ecosystem exchange of CH<sub>4</sub> (NEE CH<sub>4</sub>). In theory, we would expect that if wetland CH<sub>4</sub> production ( $R_{eco_-CH_4}$ ) dominates forest CH<sub>4</sub> consumption and wetland CH<sub>4</sub> oxidation, then the landscape CH<sub>4</sub> flux would be proportional to the wetland spatial extent and its mean flux as measured by chambers. Also, some ecosystem models simulate CH<sub>4</sub> production based on assuming a constant ratio of either ecosystem respiration ( $R_{eco}$ ) to  $R_{eco}$  or NEE CO<sub>2</sub> to NEE CH<sub>4</sub> at annual timescales (e.g., Potter, 1997). To investigate these claims, we ask:

Other	Wetland	Mixed Forest	Evergreen Forest	Deciduous Forest	No Data



**Fig. 1.** Generalized land cover surrounding the WLEF Park Falls very tall tower (center cross) in a 10 km radius derived from manual classification of 30 m spatial resolution Quickbird imagery (B.D. Cook, unpublished data). "Other" category primarily includes grassy areas, lakes, and streams. Wetlands are patchy and equally distributed in all directions from tower. Footprint climatology overlaid as a mask, where lighter areas show >0.5% contribution to the May–Sept 2011 total hourly surface flux influence, revealing a typical footprint diameter of 5 km.

- What is the magnitude of NEE CH<sub>4</sub> in a mixed forest–wetland landscape and how does it compare to site-level chamber-based estimates?
- How predictive are environmental factors such as water table and temperature or other biogeochemical fluxes such as R<sub>eco\_CH2</sub> or NEE CO<sub>2</sub> on daily to interannual variability of NEE CH<sub>4</sub>?
- How well does a state-of-the-art ecosystem model simulate landscape NEE CH<sub>4</sub>?

# 2. Methods

# 2.1. Site description

Methane flux and profile measurements were made at the WLEF very tall tower US-PFa Fluxnet site (Davis et al., 2003) in Wisconsin, USA (45.945°N, 90.273°W). The surrounding landscape (Fig. 1) is a representative mix of forested and open wetlands (28% in entire region (~50 km radius), 18% within 5 km radius of tower) with the remainder primarily composed of mixed deciduous and evergreen forests with most stands ranging from 30 to 70 years old. Most of the landscape is within the Chequamegon-Nicolet National Forest and forests that are actively managed for multiple purposes, including recreation, wildlife habitat, and timber production. Wetlands in the region include both open fens and forested bogs and a smaller proportion of open-water bodies. Upland stands are generally characterized by mixed northern hardwood species (*Acer saccharum, Tilia americana, Fraxinus pennsylvanica, Betula* 

	Table	1
--	-------	---

Very tall tower site and instrument characteristics.

Coordinates	45.945°N, 90.273°W
Land cover (general region)	28% wetland, 67% upland mixed forest, 5% grass or other
Annual mean temperature (1995–2013)	5.7°C
Annual total precipitation (1995–2013)	586 mm
Summer mean temperature (JJA, 1995–2013)	18.4°C
Summer total precipitation (JJA, 1995–2013)	243 mm
Measurement height	122 m above ground
Instruments	
Flux gas analyzer (CH4)	Picarro, Inc. 1301-f
Flux gas analyzer (CO <sub>2</sub> /H <sub>2</sub> O)	Licor, Inc. LI-6262
Storage profile (CH <sub>4</sub> )	Los Gatos, Inc. LGR Fast Methane Analyzer
Storage profile (CO <sub>2</sub> )	Licor, Inc. LI-7000
Sonic anemometer	ATI, Inc. Type K
u* cutoff	0.2 m s <sup>-1</sup>

papyrifera); early- to mid-successional aspen-fir (Populus tremuloides, Populus grandidentata, Abies balsamea); and pine-spruce (Pinus resinosa, Pinus banksiana, Picea glauca). Lowlands are generally characterized by wetland shrub and sedge species in fens and along stream banks (Alnus rugosa, Salix spp., Carex spp.); deciduous hardwood species in retired and seasonal drainageways (Fraxinus nigra, Ulmus rubra, Acer rubrum); ericaceous shrubs and moss in open bogs (Chamaedaphne calyculata, Ledum groenlandicum, Sphagnum spp.); and wetland conifers in drier peatlands and bog edges (Thuja occidentalis, Larix larcina, Picea mariana, A. balsamea).

The site has an interior continental climate with cold winters and warm summers (Table 1). Precipitation is greatest in the spring and fall, though there is regular and abundant winter snowfall. Over the two decades of flux tower  $CO_2$  measurements, the site has varied from being a small source of  $CO_2$  to a modest sink for  $CO_2$  (Desai, 2014). Previous studies (Desai et al., 2008a) have indicated that the mean tower footprint samples a landscape that is representative of much of the Upper Midwest U.S. forested region, and the proportions of wetland and forest sampled are representative of the average wetland/forest coverage in the entire National Forest.

#### 2.2. Very tall tower measurements

Flux measurements of CO<sub>2</sub>, H<sub>2</sub>O, heat, and momentum and associated tower profile meteorology and surface micrometeorology have been made continuously at the site since the middle of 1996 (Davis et al., 2003). Flux measurements have been made at three heights above ground, 30 m, 122 m, and 396 m. CO2 and H2O flux measurements at each level were made with Licor, Inc. LI-6262 infrared gas analyzers and ATI Type K sonic anemometers (Table 1). Each level has a gas analyzer in a trailer at the tower base with large vacuum pumps drawing air to them. For the upper two levels, an additional gas analyzer was placed on tower at their respective heights to minimize data loss and account for flux loss for long tube lengths. Generally, fluxes between the on tower sensors and the long tube length sensors compared favorably, especially after high frequency spectral loss corrections were applied (Berger et al., 2001). All flux instruments were sampled initially at 5 Hz, but switched to 10 Hz in 2006. In addition to the flux measurements, each level has measurements of temperature and humidity (Vaisala, Inc. HMP45C). Measurements of incoming above-canopy photosynthetically active radiation (PAR) were made in the clearing at the base of the very tall tower. Precipitation and soil moisture were made at a nearby stand-scale flux tower (US-WCr) and compared and gap-filled with other micrometeorological stations within 30 km of the tower.

In the middle of 2010, we installed a cavity ring-down spectrometer (Picarro Inc., model 1301-f) for measurement of continuous CO<sub>2</sub> and CH<sub>4</sub> concentration. This instrument is one of several new instruments with high sensitivity for continuous high-frequency CH<sub>4</sub> measurements that have arisen since the development of lowcost quantum cascade and infrared lasers (Kroon et al., 2007), with limited sensor calibration drift (Hendriks et al., 2008). The instrument was housed inside a temperature-controlled trailer and sub-sampled air diverted from the 122 m level LI-6262 analyzer. A second pump was applied to draw air into the Picarro cavity. The Picarro analyzer maintains a constant pressure and temperature in the cavity and directly reports mole fraction of the gas species. We did not attempt to sync the LI-6262 water vapor signal to estimate 10 Hz CH<sub>4</sub> dry air mixing ratio, but rather applied a Webb-Pearson-Leuning (WPL, Webb et al., 1980) correction as discussed below.

Storage flux was derived from profile measurements of CO<sub>2</sub> and CH<sub>4</sub> made on the tower. CO<sub>2</sub> profile measurements were made with a Licor, Inc. LI-7000 analyzer maintained by the National Oceanographic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) Earth Systems Research Lab (ESRL) (Andrews et al., 2014). These measurements have been made since 1995 with a Licor 6251, which was replaced by the LI-7000 in May 2009. A separate set of intakes at the same heights as the flux tower levels provided air to the analyzer, which performed 5-minute sequential sampling of each level. These air samples were dried, flow controlled, and calibrated with zero and span gases multiple times per day. In spring 2010, we installed a Los Gatos, Inc. LGR Fast Methane Analyzer, drawing dried and conditioned air from the NOAA ESRL system and added standards with known CH<sub>4</sub> concentration for calibration. Both profile measurements used in this study were acquired from calibrated and interpolated time series of CO<sub>2</sub> and CH<sub>4</sub> concentrations from the three flux heights.

Flux and meteorology measurements were acquired with Campbell Scientific, Inc. data loggers, except for the Picarro, which has its own internal storage system. To maintain time alignment, all loggers and computers were synced to NIST UTC internet time on an hourly basis. Flux data processing for CO<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>O fluxes was virtually unchanged from Berger et al. (2001). The observed CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations were calibrated against the NOAA ESRL on tower CO<sub>2</sub> observations within a 24-hour window, and similarly water vapor was calibrated to water vapor mixing ratio obtained from on tower Vaisala HMP45C sensors and surface barometric pressure measurements. Picarro CO<sub>2</sub> and CH<sub>4</sub> observations had very small drift and have not shown any need for calibration beyond factory calibration. A WPL correction for dilution by water vapor is needed to obtain the dry air mole fraction of CO<sub>2</sub> and CH<sub>4</sub>, using the approach of Hiller et al. (2012). We opted not to apply the direct correction method of Baldocchi et al. (2012) and Detto et al. (2011) because lining up H<sub>2</sub>O observations from the LI-6262 to the Picarro at 10 Hz was not easily possible, except for limited periods, where we did compare the two approaches.

Sonic anemometer data were rotated to long-term (12-month) planar fits. Air sampling lags were identified with maximal lagged covariance, and high-frequency empirical spectral corrections were applied (Berger et al., 2001). Given the larger eddies present at 122 m than lower heights, we have previously showed that an hourlong averaging time is more appropriate (Berger et al., 2001).

One particular issue with our set up was drifting clocks between the Picarro and the datalogger that stores the sonic data, even with regular time syncing. Further, the Picarro's raw data are not stored at regular time intervals owing to data processing and laser control sequence. We used a nearest neighbor approach for each time stamp, essentially following the method of Eugster and Plüss (2010) to line up time stamps to the sonic anemometer, with replication if needed. Lag corrections were applied after this. Clock drift owing to malfunctioning computer clocks was obvious in the long-term time series of lag times, requiring manual adjustment of the window of acceptable lag times.

Additional quality control was applied, including range checks, spike detection, and low turbulence filtering. We applied a 0.2 m/s  $u^*$  filter for low turbulence at night. For CO<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>O fluxes, where multiple heights and sensors were available, a preferred intake height algorithm (Davis et al., 2003) was applied to combine the independent flux observations, preferring higher levels in daytime and the lowest level at night during periods of negative heat flux, indicating decoupling of higher intake heights from the surface layer, as described in Davis et al. (2003).

While systematic biases are possible from assumptions made in data filtering, calibration, and flux algorithms, there is also the issue of random flux uncertainty. Given the sporadic nature of CH<sub>4</sub> emissions against a low background flux at most sites, turbulent flux uncertainty can be large relative to flux magnitude (Kroon et al., 2010). To estimate flux uncertainty for CH<sub>4</sub>, we applied the method of Salesky et al. (2012). Flux uncertainty was derived from successive computation of eddy fluxes with longer averaging times, estimating the standard deviation of these sub-hour fluxes and extrapolating them to the hour to estimate flux uncertainty. Computationally, this calculation of fluxes at all averaging times up to one hour was done in Fourier spectrum to speed computation time. The method has been shown by Salesky et al. (2012) to be reliable and comparable to other methods based on random flux shuffling (Billesbach, 2011). For daily and cumulative errors, hourly errors were summed by squares after accounting for temporal autocorrelation up to a 24 h lag.

For calculation of seasonal and annual fluxes, we also gap-filled the flux measurements of  $CO_2$  and  $CH_4$  and inferred gross primary production (GPP) and ecosystem respiration (ER).  $CO_2$  fluxes were gap-filled and partitioned by using the method described in Desai et al. (2005), based on a moving-window regression of quality controlled nighttime net ecosystem exchange of  $CO_2$  (NEE  $CO_2$ ) and a fit of daytime observations to incoming photosynthetically active radiation (PAR). This method has compared favorably to other methods in common usage (Desai et al., 2008b).

There is currently no generally-accepted method for gap-filling for CH<sub>4</sub> fluxes. Our initial attempts at similar regression approaches as for NEE CO<sub>2</sub> at the hourly scale did not find strong relationships, similar to what has been reported by others (e.g., Dengel et al., 2013). Short gaps (<4 h) at the hourly scale were filled with linear interpolation. However, at the daily scale, a stronger relationship with temperature allowed us to apply a second order polynomial fit between CH<sub>4</sub> daily flux and air temperature, accounting for random flux uncertainty as described above. While soil temperature would be possible for a short tower, there is no single estimate of regional soil temperature, and thus air temperature is the best metric of regional average ecosystem temperature. Further, we modeled random flux uncertainty as a linear function of mean flux to extrapolate random uncertainty of the gap-filled daily fluxes, to which we summed with the one-sigma uncertainty of the regression to estimate total random uncertainty. We also separately estimated gap-filling uncertainty by repeated calculation of annual sums of NEE CH<sub>4</sub> with differing regression coefficients based on their uncertainty.

Finally, flux footprints were estimated for each hour to estimate source contributions and potential footprint bias. We applied the empirical CBL model of Wang et al. (2006), which relies on similarity theory to derive mean Gaussian surface influence functions as a function of boundary layer characteristics such as convective velocity scale ( $w^*$ ), boundary layer depth (h), roughness height ( $z_0$ ), and Monin–Obhukov length (z/L). These were used to confirm representative sampling of land cover in the tower climatological footprint as shown in Fig. 1

#### 2.3. Plot-level observations

For comparison of regional fluxes from the tower to in situ CH<sub>4</sub> fluxes, we analyzed static chamber flux measurements made in four wetlands and three upland forests near the very tall tower (within 20 km, though not necessarily within the flux footprint). Static chamber measurements were made in the growing seasons (May-Sep) of 2005 and 2006 based on syringe sampling from closed, vented PVC chambers (25 cm diameter, 10 cm height). Chamber headspace samples (15 mL) were collected four times during a 30-minute period, with each sample transferred to an air-tight vial for transport to the laboratory. Vials were analyzed for CH<sub>4</sub> concentration by gas chromatography using a flame ionization detector (Hewlett Packard, 5890A) with calibrated standards (Scott Specialty, Inc.). Fluxes were calculated based on the increase in headspace concentration over time (Weishampel and Kolka, 2008). At each site, 3 plots containing 4 subplots each with 3 fixed, static chamber collars were sampled approximately monthly across the growing season (days of year 100 to 278). Mean soil temperature and volumetric soil water content were also measured in the plots at each flux sampling time point.

Wetland sites included an open, sphagnum-dominated bog (South Fork, SF; 45°55.37'N 90°07.92'W)), a sedge-dominated riparian fen (Wilson Flowage, WF; 45°48.99'N, 90°10.29'W), an alder-dominated riparian wetland (Lost Creek, LC; 46°04.96'N, 89°58.72'W), and a cedar swamp (CS; 45°56.53'N 90°16.21'W). Forest sites included one mature deciduous forest, Willow Creek (WC; 45°48.47'N, 90°04.72'W), and two recent clear-cut (<10 years at time of sampling) deciduous forests, Riley Creek (RC; 45°54.53'N, 90°07.27'W) young aspen and Thunder Creek (TC; 45°40.239'N 90°03.25'W). In this study, we were primarily interested in the mean and range of the wetland emissions and forest soil methane consumption over the entire growing season.

In addition, for comparison purposes, we also upscaled the chamber measurements using flux footprint-weighted estimates of wetland and forest cover multiplied, respectively, by mean and standard deviation of wetland and forest chamber fluxes over all collars, all sites, and all growing season sampling dates (assuming 179 day growing season), assuming no methane exchange in winter or for other land cover types. Intra and inter site variability across collars was propagated via Monte Carlo sampling to estimate sensitivity of upscaling.

#### 2.4. Numerical modeling

The Dynamic Land Ecosystem Model (DLEM) is a comprehensive terrestrial ecosystem model that couples carbon, nutrient and water cycles in terrestrial ecosystems for estimating the hydrological and biogeochemical fluxes and pool sizes at multiple scales from site to region/globe and with time step ranging from day to year. Through carbon-nutrient-water coupling, DLEM is capable of simultaneously depicting the biosphere-atmosphere exchange of CO<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>4</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O under multiple natural and anthropogenic disturbances (Tian et al., 2010). The model can simulate regional hydrology including evapotranspiration, runoff and soil moisture (Liu et al., 2013). Here, we ran the model in two modes over the study period: a cut-out of a previously continental-scale regionally parameterized model and a single site-level model. The regional model was cut-out from a spatial resolution of 5 by 5 arc-minutes (around  $9.2 \times 9.2$  km grid at the equator), using default land cover for the grid cell. The site model was run with local estimates of wetland and forest cover. There is large difference in the percent area of three major plant functional types between regional data and site data (Table 2). The site model experiment was run with gap-filled tower observed meteorology, whereas the regional model was run with large-scale gridded meteorology (Climate Research Unit



**Fig. 2.** Comparison of in-line water vapor correction and post-WPL correction for water vapor dilution applied to  $CH_4$  eddy fluxes. (a) Comparison of "wet" mole fraction  $CH_4$  flux to "wet" mole fraction  $CH_4$  flux with WPL applied, showing the effect of water vapor dilution is to underestimate fluxes by  $\sim 1\%$ . (b) A direct dry mole fraction estimated flux shows high correlation and low bias with WPL-corrected  $CH_4$  flux, but the direct computed fluxes are on average 1.6% larger.

#### Table 2

DLEM model gridcell cover fractions.

Plant functional type	DLEM regional (%)	DLEM site (%)	
Wetland	44	28	
Forest	43	67	
Grass and other	13	5	

National Center for Environmental Prediction—CRUNCEP). We ran the model in site and regional modes to assess biases in modeling of regional CH<sub>4</sub> flux.

# 3. Results

#### 3.1. Fidelity of very tall tower flux

Methane eddy covariance flux measurements in 2011 and 2012 were successfully made over 68% of the time (Table 3). An additional 13% of all available hours were filtered for low turbulence conditions ( $u^* < 0.2 \text{ m s}^{-1}$ ). Spectral loss from long tube lengths and lag times were nearly identical for NEE CO<sub>2</sub> and NEE CH<sub>4</sub> and similar to earlier results published in Berger et al. (2001). Flux observations sampled a footprint (Fig. 1) with an average fetch in any one direction of 1–4 km and sampled all wind sectors. The relatively self-similar pattern of wetlands and forests in the fetch allowed for a "homogenous" sampling of diverse upland and lowland ecosystems around the tower. However, given the lower amount of wetland in the immediate vicinity of the tower compared to the larger region, the 2011 footprint climatology showed an average wetland sampling of 17%, with forests at 70%, and other covers

#### Table 3

Observed annual fluxes and meteorology during study period.

	2011	2012
Annual mean temperature (°C)	5.7	7.0
Annual precipitation (mm)	458	568
Summer (JJA) temperature (°C)	19.1	19.4
Summer (JJA) precipitation (mm)	207	188
NEE CO <sub>2</sub> (g C—CO <sub>2</sub> $m^{-2}$ yr <sup>-1</sup> )	-58.0	-101.4
GPP (g C—CO <sub>2</sub> $m^{-2}$ yr <sup>-1</sup> )	858.1	1160.7
$R_{\rm eco} (g C - CO_2 m^{-2} yr^{-1})$	799.7	1059.3
NEE CH4 (mg C-CH <sub>4</sub> m <sup>-2</sup> yr <sup>-1</sup> )	$911\pm84$	$659\pm 64$
Ratio NEE CH <sub>4</sub> :NEE CO <sub>2</sub> (%)	-1.57	-0.65
Ratio NEE CH <sub>4</sub> :R <sub>eco</sub> (%)	0.0011	0.00062
Missing NEE CH <sub>4</sub> (%)	29	36
Screened NEE CH <sub>4</sub> (%)	12	13

(grass, water, roads, shrubs) at 13%. Daytime and nighttime footprints were similar, except for slightly enhanced contribution of the  $\sim\!100\,m$  diameter grassy clearing surrounding the tower during the daytime.

Flux observations of methane had turbulent behavior quite similar to CO<sub>2</sub>. WPL correction for water vapor dilution was found to be modestly important for NEE CH<sub>4</sub> from closed path analyzers (Fig. 2). WPL corrected NEE CH<sub>4</sub> was on average 1.2% larger than uncorrected. We also tested whether a WPL correction was similar to the direct dry air mixing ratio flux calculation. Over a one month period, H<sub>2</sub>O mixing ratio observations were synced in time and used to directly compute dry mole fraction CH<sub>4</sub> at 10 Hz. Our results showed strong correlation and low bias, but on average, the direct dry-air NEE CH<sub>4</sub> were 1.6% larger than WPL-corrected flux, or overall nearly 3% larger than uncorrected NEE CH<sub>4</sub> (Fig. 2).

Because methane fluxes at the site were small, random turbulent uncertainty could be a significant component. Our application of the Salesky et al. (2012) method revealed a baseline uncertainty (level of detection) of NEE CH<sub>4</sub> to be 0.13 nmol CH<sub>4</sub> m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> at the hourly scale and 0.42 mg C–CH<sub>4</sub> m<sup>-2</sup> day<sup>-1</sup> at the daily scale. Over the two year study period, 2.2% of hours had an NEE CH<sub>4</sub> magnitude below that amount, though 15.2% of daily NEE CH<sub>4</sub> was below the daily threshold, primarily during the winter. Average uncertainty was 20% for hourly fluxes and 12% for daily fluxes (Fig. 3). However,



**Fig. 3.** Estimate of flux random turbulent uncertainty (*y*-axis) versus absolute magnitude of NEE CH<sub>4</sub> for (a) hourly and (b) daily scale. The blue line shows bin-averaged NEE CH<sub>4</sub> for intervals of (a) 10 nmol CH<sub>4</sub> m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> or (b) 4 mg C-CH<sub>4</sub> m<sup>-2</sup> day<sup>-1</sup>, while the red line shows the result of linear regression. In general, uncertainty scales linearly with flux. The intercept is an estimate of minimal detectable flux.



**Fig. 4.** (a) Box plot comparing the range of NEE CH<sub>4</sub> observed from soil chamber observations made at four wetlands (first four from left) and three upland forests from April–October 2005–2006 compared to the eddy flux tower hourly observations in 2011–2012. Number of observations for each measurement is listed below the site abbreviation on the x-axis. (b) The comparison of monthly NEE CH<sub>4</sub> from the tower averaged over 2011–2012 (black bars) and the profile-based Modified Bowen ratio approach of Werner et al. (2003) for 1998 (red bars). Site to site variability in chamber wetland flux estimates from the Bowen ratio approach were in general much larger than those estimated from tower, despite similar climates in 1998 and 2011–2012. (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

at the hourly or daily scale, uncertainty only weakly scales with flux magnitude. These uncertainty estimates were propagated in estimates of total annual flux, as discussed below.

For very tall tower measurements, the contribution of below sensor height storage flux can be significant for all fluxes with strong surface sources or sinks, especially at night (Fig. S1). Storage flux magnitude contributed a median of 48% of the total NEE CH<sub>4</sub> magnitude around noon, but 75% of the nighttime NEE CH<sub>4</sub> at the hourly scale. Storage flux declines to zero as averaging timescale increases. Nonetheless, this flux cannot be neglected for hourly to daily NEE CH<sub>4</sub> observations from very tall towers, especially at night. For NEE CO<sub>2</sub> and NEE CH<sub>4</sub>, storage flux is on the same order as eddy flux at night, though the largest magnitude contribution of storage flux occurs shortly after sunrise, when flushing of accumulated nighttime CO<sub>2</sub> or CH<sub>4</sub> near the surface leads to a strong negative storage flux, which quickly declines to zero by solar noon. However, for  $CH_4$ , this peak occurs roughly 1–2 h later than for  $CO_2$ , and the decline to zero is more gradual and also shifted by a similar amount. Further, in the morning during the growing season, flux and storage terms for NEE CO<sub>2</sub> are the same sign (negative), while for NEE CH<sub>4</sub>, they are opposite signs (positive for eddy flux, negative for storage), leading to a possibly greater source of error for diurnal fluxes of NEE CH<sub>4</sub>, especially if storage and eddy fluxes have differing source area contribution. For daily NEE, this effect is negligible as average daily storage flux for CH<sub>4</sub> is <4% of daily NEE CH<sub>4</sub>.

# 3.2. Comparison to plot-level chamber observation

Plot level chamber methane fluxes (Fig. 4a) reveal significant within and across site differences in collar-averaged daytime  $CH_4$  fluxes across the four wetland (193 measurements) and three upland forest study sites (152 measurements) in the region. Tower observed daytime growing-season NEE  $CH_4$  have efflux rates that

bracket the static chamber observations, with most tower observations occurring in-between the largest and smallest wetland flux observations. Tower maximum efflux rates do not generally exceed those observed at the high CH<sub>4</sub> emission sedge site, where plantmediated pathways and high proportion of labile carbon likely facilitated CH<sub>4</sub> flux. Chamber CH<sub>4</sub> exchange from wetland or upland forest sites had significantly different distributions than tower NEE  $CH_4$  (Wilcox Rank-Sum U-Test p < 0.001). The average daily efflux of CH<sub>4</sub> from all sampled wetlands was  $5.08 \pm 15.3$  nmol CH<sub>4</sub> m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> and average forest soil uptake was  $-1.8 \pm 1.1$  nmol CH<sub>4</sub> m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>. Tower mean NEE CH4 averaged over the period corresponding to the earliest and latest sample dates (days of year 100-278) was  $3.9 \pm 11.2$  nmol CH<sub>4</sub> m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>. Large negative values of NEE CH<sub>4</sub> observed by the tower were much larger than any observed at chamber sites. The highest magnitude of chamber CH<sub>4</sub> emissions was observed from the groundwater fed sedge dominated wetland (WF), which promoted plant-mediated transport and was wetter than the other sites.

While upscaling is of limited value given the amount of chamber data available, it can provide some estimate of whether the chamber fluxes are representative of the landscape flux. Mean chamber-based upscaled NEE  $CH_4$  was  $145 \pm 436$  mg C–CH<sub>4</sub> m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> from wetlands and  $-214 \pm 131$  mg C–CH<sub>4</sub> m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> from forests. This amounts to a total upscaled NEE CH4 of  $-64\pm567$  mg C–CH<sub>4</sub> m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>, as the forest CH<sub>4</sub> sink essentially cancels out wetland emissions. Tower observations show a net source of  $785 \pm 75$  mg C–CH<sub>4</sub> m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> observed by eddy covariance. Wetland chamber emissions alone are less than 20% of the tower observed source. Caution is required as the chambers were sampled in different years (2005-2006) from the tower (2011-2012). Summer mean temperatures for chamber observations in 2005-2006 were 0.25 °C warmer and 2% wetter on average compared to tower observations in 2011–2012. These findings highlight the need to better delineate wetland type and area, peat depth, edge effects, and decomposability for accurate upscaling.

#### 3.3. Seasonal and interannual patterns of carbon fluxes

Patterns of daily CH<sub>4</sub> (Fig. 5a), CO<sub>2</sub> (Fig. 5b) fluxes and inferred GPP (Fig. 5c) and  $R_{eco}$  (Fig. 5d) at the site showed seasonal patterns typical of temperature-limited temperate mixed forest regions. NEE of CO<sub>2</sub> and CH<sub>4</sub> were generally negatively correlated at a monthly scale (Table 4). Peak uptake of NEE CO<sub>2</sub> was in early to midsummer, while NEE CH<sub>4</sub> showed higher daily variability and lacked a distinct early-mid summer peak. Patterns of NEE for CO<sub>2</sub> and CH<sub>4</sub> were similar in both years, but 2012 featured both an earlier growing season start and a pronounced drought in the mid-summer (Jul-Sep) (Fig. 6c). While drier in the growing season, the earlier green-up led to higher GPP in 2012 for most of the growing season (Fig. 5c), and higher Reco from mid-summer onward. The period of high ecosystem respiration was not directly related to any reduction of CH<sub>4</sub> emissions, a feature only apparent at the annual scale. Both years had growing seasons (May-Sept) that were 10-28% drier and 0.4–0.8 °C warmer than the long-term (1995–2013) average.

NEE CH<sub>4</sub> exhibited periods in both the winter and growing season of high emissions relative to the average for the time period (Fig. 5a). These "bursts" were primarily generated in the turbulent flux term, were more common and prominent for CH<sub>4</sub> than CO<sub>2</sub>, were skewed in the positive direction, and were not coincident with excursions in NEE CO<sub>2</sub>, nor were they consistently co-occurring with large pressure or turbulence changes or any known fossil-fuel CH<sub>4</sub> sources. These high emission days in summer also exhibited relatively high turbulent flux uncertainty and were more pronounced in 2011 than 2012. NEE CH<sub>4</sub> hourly bursts that exceed two standard deviations from a background seven-day average over the measurement period occurred only 6% of the time, but



**Fig. 5.** Time series of daily (a) NEE of CH<sub>4</sub>, (b) NEE of CO<sub>2</sub>, (c) GPP and (d) *R*<sub>eco</sub> for a two-year period at the tower site. Red crosses are gap-filled, and gray bars show turbulent flux uncertainty. Blue line shows a 10 day smoothed average. CH<sub>4</sub> fluxes show a decline from 2011 to 2012 in contrast to increases seen in GPP and *R*<sub>eco</sub> and no change in NEE.



**Fig. 6.** Similar to Fig. 5 but for meteorological forcing of gap-filled (a) daily mean temperature, (b) daily cumulative photosynthetically active radiation, (c) cumulative precipitation, and (d) near surface soil moisture from an upland, mixed forest in the flux tower footprint. Both years had similar temperature and cloudiness, but differing patterns of growing season precipitation leading to lower soil moisture in 2012.

## Table 4

Pearson linear correlation coefficient (r) between NEE CH<sub>4</sub> and other observations at hourly to monthly averaging scales. Only significant correlations (p < 0.1) are shown after correcting for time series auto-correlation. No significance denoted with ns. NEE CH<sub>4</sub> is not strongly correlated to soil moisture, but instead most positively correlated to temperature and GPP and  $R_{eco}$  at these time scales.

Averaging time	Temperature	Photosyn-thetically active rad-iation (PAR)	Volumetric surface soil moisture	Net ecosystem exchange CO <sub>2</sub> (NEE CO <sub>2</sub> )	Gross primary production (GPP)	Ecosystem respiration (R <sub>eco</sub> )
Hour	ns.	ns.	ns.	0.09	ns.	ns.
Day	0.49	0.43	ns.	ns.	0.49	0.53
Week	0.71	0.66	ns.	-0.49	0.72	0.74
Month	ns.	ns.	ns.	-0.68	0.80	0.79



**Fig. 7.** (a) Cumulative NEE of  $CH_4$  for 2011 (blue) and 2012 (red) and estimate of cumulative flux uncertainty. NEE from the two years diverges at the start of the growing season, but cannot be differentiated against flux uncertainty until the end of the growing season. (b) Normalized Hilbert–Huang transformed (HHT) power spectra of NEE  $CH_4$  (red) and NEE  $CO_2$  (black) show that modes of variability in cumulative flux are similar for the two, though  $CO_2$  has a clearer spectral gap between diurnal/synoptic and seasonal/annual variations, while  $CH_4$  has stronger monthly variations and weaker seasonal contributions. (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

they contributed nearly a quarter of the absolute flux, which adds a further challenge to gap-filling, which in our current version cannot capture these events. Unfortunately, during the anomalously warm early spring of 2012, CH<sub>4</sub> flux observations were not available. Spectral analyses of the modes of variability for gap-filled NEE CO<sub>2</sub> and NEE CH<sub>4</sub> from 2011–2012 show that the contribution of timescale to NEE CH<sub>4</sub> is relatively similar to NEE CO<sub>2</sub>, though NEE CH<sub>4</sub> scale has reduced contribution of variation from the monthly (20–30 day) scale and greater contribution at the seasonal (>100 day) scale (Fig. 7b).

Overall, annual NEE CH<sub>4</sub> from the region is relatively small in magnitude, on average 1.1% of the NEE CO<sub>2</sub> by mole or mass fraction (Table 3). Cumulative NEE CH<sub>4</sub> (Fig. 7a) in the two years averaged  $785 \pm 75 \text{ mg C m}^{-2} \text{ yr}^{-1}$  while NEE of CO<sub>2</sub> was -80 g C m<sup>-2</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup>. CH<sub>4</sub> fluxes were lower in 2012, though just outside the uncertainty bounds arising from both gap-filling and flux random uncertainty. In 2012, CH<sub>4</sub> fluxes appear to be suppressed in the early to mid-growing season in slightly warmer, but wetter conditions compared to the previous year, though the presence of gaps in part of this period complicates the analysis. The remaining part of the growing season has a similar pattern of net emissions as the prior year (Fig. 5).

The shifts in  $R_{eco}$  and  $CH_4$  NEE in 2012 were likely related to the 1.3 °C higher annual air temperature in 2012 and lack of precipitation in late July through August in 2012 (Table 3). Warmer air temperatures in 2012 led to a very early growing season, and a quasi-stationary ridge of high pressure promoted longer periods of dry, warm conditions in summer 2012. While the reduction in precipitation is not particularly large, there was a significant change in timing of precipitation (Fig. 6c), depressing 2012 soil moisture through the late summer and fall (Fig. 6d).

Interannual variability of  $CH_4$  flux between the two years is 32% of the mean flux, slightly larger than variability in GPP (29%) and



**Fig. 8.** Diel patterns of (a) NEE CH<sub>4</sub>, (b) NEE CO<sub>2</sub>, (c) GPP, and (d)  $R_{eco}$  for the summer season (June–August) for 2011 (blue) and 2012 (red). Bands shaded blue and pink reflect standard deviation of flux for that hour. NEE CH<sub>4</sub> has an unusual minimum of mid-morning flux, followed in succession by NEE CO<sub>2</sub> (late-morning), GPP (noon), and  $R_{eco}$  (afternoon).  $R_{eco}$  and NEE CH<sub>4</sub> show clearest changes in mean fluxes between 2011 and 2012. (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

 $R_{eco}$  (28%), but different than for NEE CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes over this time (54%), as the longer growing season (increased GPP) in 2012 more than offset the warmer, drier conditions in the same year (increased  $R_{eco}$ ). The consequence of the longer growing season and warmer conditions was that GPP increased by 35%, while  $R_{eco}$  increased by 32% between 2011 and 2012, whereas annual CH<sub>4</sub> fluxes declined by 28%. Interannual variability in prior years for CO<sub>2</sub> NEE has been larger. The range of annual CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes measured from 1996–2012 exceeded 296 g C m<sup>-2</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup> (Desai, 2014), as the site has shifted from being a net source of CO<sub>2</sub> to a net sink in some years.

#### 3.4. Growing season diurnal patterns

Diel patterns for NEE CH<sub>4</sub> are particularly unique showing an early to mid-morning negative peak in CH<sub>4</sub> fluxes in contrast to a late morning peak for NEE CO<sub>2</sub>, and near noon peak for GPP, and afternoon peak for  $R_{eco}$  (Fig. 8), as the latter two follow patterns of PAR and air temperature. NEE CH<sub>4</sub> reaches a minimum between 8 and 10 local time (LST), but the minima shifts earlier in 2012, and variability in diurnal pattern is large. While the relative change in hourly NEE was small between 2011 and 2012, there are distinguishable changes in Reco and GPP which were large and compensating. For CH<sub>4</sub>, a decrease in NEE CH<sub>4</sub> from 2011 to 2012 is seen in the average for all hours, but variability in this mean is large. There is, however, a decrease in variability around the mean in 2012 compared to 2011, perhaps reflecting the changes in areal coverage of inundated areas contributing episodic methane emissions, given lower soil moisture as a result of decreased late summer precipitation in 2012.



**Fig. 9.** Scatterplot relationships of NEE CH<sub>4</sub> (black dots) at hourly (left) and daily (right) scales to GPP (top) and air temperature (bottom) including accounting for uncertainty (gray bars). A linear model best reflects relationship to GPP, while an exponential model is used for temperature. Fluxes shown with uncertainty, and fit (red line) shown with random propagation of  $2-\sigma$  uncertainty in parameters of fit. (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

#### 3.5. Environmental controls on regional methane flux

Variations in CO<sub>2</sub> NEE are typically well described by variations in PAR and temperature at the hourly scale (Desai, 2014), but these correlations were only apparent for CH<sub>4</sub> when averaged at daily to weekly time scales (Table 4). Correlation of NEE CH<sub>4</sub> to NEE CO<sub>2</sub> is significant and negative, but weaker in effect size than for PAR and T. Further, at monthly timescales, the correlation for NEE CH<sub>4</sub> is greatest for  $R_{eco}$  and GPP. Interestingly, this relationship with  $R_{eco}$ is positive, implying that greater  $R_{eco}$  is associated with greater emissions of CH<sub>4</sub> in the region. However, this relationship does not hold at the interannual scale, where increased  $R_{eco}$  in 2012 is accompanied by decreased NEE CH<sub>4</sub> (Table 3).

It is likely that the positive correlation of  $R_{eco}$  and NEE CH<sub>4</sub> at the shorter time scales primarily reflects the exponential nature of these processes with respect to temperature (Fig. 9). Scatterplots of NEE CH<sub>4</sub> versus temperature and GPP are only weakly correlated at the hourly scale, partly owing to the high uncertainty of NEE CH<sub>4</sub>. For daily average NEE CH<sub>4</sub>, a linear relationship to GPP and exponential relationship to temperature are more apparent. For the exponential relationship to temperature, daily NEE CH<sub>4</sub> is relatively insensitive for air temperature of 0–15 °C, followed by a large increase in emissions with higher temperature (Fig. 9d). Regionally, it appears at short timescales that CH<sub>4</sub> production and its relationship to temperature dominate any increase in longertimescale changes in CH<sub>4</sub> oxidation that would occur with the lower soil moisture that co-occurs with high temperature.



**Fig. 10.** Comparison of daily (cross) NEE CH<sub>4</sub> and uncertainty (gray bars) to simulations of the DLEM model from a cut-out from a larger regional model (blue line, only for 2012) and a locally forced model with accounting of sub-grid land cover (red line, both years). Pink crosses reflect gap-filled observations. Both models were able to capture the seasonal cycle of CH<sub>4</sub> flux, but the site model more faithfully reproduced mean flux at expense of underestimating large positive excursions of flux and not capturing reduction of flux in 2012. (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

#### 3.6. Comparison to ecosystem models

The DLEM model output of daily NEE CH<sub>4</sub> for the region (only available in 2011) and site (2011-2012) reveals similar seasonal patterns to the very tall tower observations, but several discrepancies exist (Fig. 10). First, the regional model, run with an estimate of land-cover based on a continental gridded map, generated CH<sub>4</sub> emissions significantly larger than observed NEE CH<sub>4</sub>, likely owing to the larger estimation of wetland area fraction in the regional model (Table 2). It also resulted in CH<sub>4</sub> emissions earlier in the spring and later in the autumn compared to observations. The site level run, using local estimates of wetland extent and local meteorology, had seasonal magnitudes much more in line with the tower. The site model still overestimated CH<sub>4</sub> emissions in the autumn. Further, the site model showed very little interannual variability, while the observations clearly showed a mid to late summer suppression of CH<sub>4</sub> emissions in 2012, likely in response to the lack of precipitation in this time period. Finally, both models tended to have relatively modest sub-weekly variability in CH<sub>4</sub> emissions, while observations showed much larger day-to-day and monthly variation.

#### 4. Discussion

# 4.1. Uncertainty of regional CH<sub>4</sub> flux

Our analysis confirms that current generation closed path methane analyzers can reliably measure CH<sub>4</sub> fluxes, even in regions of small flux magnitude, as long as high-frequency spectral corrections were applied, confirming recent cross-comparison studies (e.g., Iwata et al., 2014). WPL water vapor dilution corrections were more important for CH<sub>4</sub> than CO<sub>2</sub> given the two orders of magnitude smaller concentration of CH<sub>4</sub> than CO<sub>2</sub> in air. Still, even with long tube lengths, CH<sub>4</sub> fluxes could be measured reasonably to ~20% accuracy at the hourly scale, similar to results shown in recently published papers on methane eddy covariance (Detto et al., 2011; Smeets et al., 2009). Both large positive and negative short-term CH<sub>4</sub> pulses appear to be real, but could arise from either ecosystem processes or vertical flux transport.

A bigger challenge in quantifying net  $CH_4$  ecosystem exchange appears to be finding an adequate gap-filling strategy, as relationships of  $CH_4$  flux at the hourly scale to meteorological drivers have far greater variability than for  $CO_2$ . New approaches using artificial neural networks have shown promise (Dengel et al., 2013; Hatala et al., 2012), but a standard community approach to gap-filling has not been identified.

Chamber flux measurements are also subject to measurement bias and uncertainty and also sampling bias. Static chambers and soil gradient techniques have known biases and require averaging over large space and time scales to best fit models (Levy et al., 2012), complicating most former and more elaborate upscaling attempts in other regions (Hendriks et al., 2010; Schrier-Uijl et al., 2010). Wetland measurement is particularly difficult as the placement of the chamber and soil compaction during the measurement process by fieldwork can influence the flux. While a recent intercomparison study showed that seasonal variations and magnitudes of chamber fluxes agree well to stand-level eddy covariance observations of NEE CH<sub>4</sub> (Yu et al., 2013), upscaling these plot and stand level observations to the region is not straightforward, as high spatial heterogeneity complicates sampling strategies. Further, since production, consumption, and oxidation responses of CH<sub>4</sub> to climate are non-linear, extrapolating flux sensitivity from spatial variations across sites does not necessarily lead to the same conclusions about CH<sub>4</sub> drivers as temporal variation within sites (Sabrekov et al., 2014).

Finally, estimates of scaled fluxes are highly sensitive to estimates of wetland and forest extent in the case of chambers and for temporal variation of these within the flux footprint for towers. Our chamber estimates argue that the small forest CH<sub>4</sub> sink overwhelms wetland CH<sub>4</sub> emission mainly because forests have a much larger spatial extent. Additionally, drier conditions in 2005–2006 compared to 2011–2012 may have decreased wetland CH<sub>4</sub> production. It could also be the case that the higher CH<sub>4</sub> estimate from the flux tower suggests that chambers did not adequately sample high sources of wetland CH<sub>4</sub> emission or over-estimated the forest CH<sub>4</sub> sink. For example, upland–wetland edges could be particularly dynamic sources of CH<sub>4</sub> production, but are rarely sampled.

The purpose of our upscaling was not to build a defensible NEE  $CH_4$  from chambers, but to estimate how well plot-scale measurements can sample landscape  $CH_4$  flux. Our approach was necessarily simplistic due to constraints of sampling design. Other attempts at upscaling based on vegetation maps (e.g. Reeburgh et al., 1998) point to the importance of capturing landscape  $CH_4$  hotspots, such as wetlands. Within site and across site variation in  $CH_4$  exchange among fens and bogs is large (Baldocchi et al., 2012), and attempts to find optimal and efficient sampling designs for upscaling are not at hand.

Our results call into question the reliability of extrapolation of  $CH_4$  plot scale flux studies for estimating global natural  $CH_4$  emissions, which is urgently needed given that recent studies have suggested, but not conclusively shown, increases in global wetland  $CH_4$  emissions in the past decade (Spahni et al., 2011).

# 4.2. Magnitude of regional CH<sub>4</sub> flux

Average annual CH<sub>4</sub> efflux was a relatively small  $785 \pm 75 \text{ mg C}$ -CH<sub>4</sub> m<sup>-2</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup>, compared to a mean CO<sub>2</sub> sink of -80 C-CO<sub>2</sub> m<sup>-2</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup>. The two years showed a 30% shift in CH<sub>4</sub> flux from one year to the next that was detectable outside the bounds of our uncertainty analysis. Regional CH<sub>4</sub> fluxes by eddy covariance also bracketed those observed by chamber fluxes in prior years in wetlands within the tower landscape.

Our results are similar qualitatively to the early  $CH_4$  emission work of Shurpali and Verma (1998), which showed modest  $CH_4$ emissions and lack of strong short-term coupling between  $CH_4$ fluxes and GPP in a Minnesota bog. Overall, our regional observations are about an order of magnitude larger than recently published eddy covariance forest  $CH_4$  flux estimates (Shoemaker et al., 2014) and 1–2 orders of magnitude smaller than a range of  $CH_4$  eddy flux studies in a variety of wetlands, including deltas (Baldocchi et al., 2012), rice paddies (Hatala et al., 2012), grazing fields (Herbst et al., 2011), boreal fens (Long et al., 2009; Rinne et al., 2007), peatlands (Pelletier et al., 2007), marshes (Chu et al., 2014), and tundra (Sachs et al., 2008; Tagesson et al., 2012; Wille et al., 2008).

Areas of significant CH<sub>4</sub> emission do occur in the region. For example, recent eddy covariance estimates of NEE CH<sub>4</sub> in a Minnesota fen from 2009–2011 show emissions of 11.8–24.9 g C–CH<sub>4</sub> m<sup>-2</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup>, a value that amounted to 23–39% of the NEE CO<sub>2</sub> sink (Olson et al., 2013). Similarly, Pypker et al. (2013) finds a northern Michigan poor fen with May-Sept emission of 13 g C–CH<sub>4</sub> m<sup>-2</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup> and Chu et al. (2014) show freshwater marsh emissions of 49.7 g C–CH<sub>4</sub> m<sup>-2</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup> and cropland emissions of 2.3 g C–CH<sub>4</sub> m<sup>-2</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup> in northern Ohio.

Another independent approach to regional NEE CH<sub>4</sub> is the very tall tower modified Bowen ratio technique based on assuming similarity in the flux–gradient relationship in profiles of CO<sub>2</sub> and CH<sub>4</sub> concentration (Werner et al., 2003). This method, when applied to the tall tower site, showed average emissions of 2.7 g C–CH<sub>4</sub> m<sup>-2</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup> in 1998, which is more than three times the estimate here (Fig. 4b), and with a longer NEE CH<sub>4</sub> emission season (Mar–Oct). However, those results were from 1998, a year that was much warmer (average annual temperature of 7.8 °C) than the 2011–2012 average (5.4 °C). Further, the similarity approach has known biases during periods of weak vertical gradients of CH<sub>4</sub> or CO<sub>2</sub> and assumption of directly scaling of NEE CH<sub>4</sub> with NEE CO<sub>2</sub>, whose correlation is weak at the hourly and daily scale in our study (Table 4). The authors concluded that this region emits 40% less CH<sub>4</sub> than other regions at the same latitude.

Another regional carbon cycling upscaling study in the nearby Northern Highland State Forest, based on the literature, found a range of 1 to 20 gC—CH<sub>4</sub> m<sup>-2</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup> for CH<sub>4</sub> emission, roughly 1–2% of the estimated net carbon uptake in the region, but nearly 10% of that for wetlands and 10% of that for lake evasion (Buffam et al., 2011). This estimated range of CH<sub>4</sub> flux was also found to be similar to the amount of carbon lost from the terrestrial landscape as DOC runoff. While Buffam et al. (2011) noted large uncertainty on the CH<sub>4</sub> emission term, our regional observation results are consistent with a value closer to the lower end of the range used.

#### 4.3. Drivers of CH<sub>4</sub> regional net exchange

We were able to discern shifts in annual CH<sub>4</sub> flux arising from shifts in growing season length, air temperature, and late summer drought. The late summer 2012 drought was primarily a consequence of shifts in precipitation timing (earlier) instead of total precipitation magnitude. The early start of the growing season, which likely increased transpiration demand, along with the lack of rain in late summer of 2012 conceivably suppressed CH<sub>4</sub> production from wetlands in the tower footprint, while simultaneously increasing upland forest soil CH<sub>4</sub> uptake, though no single driver can adequately explain hourly to daily NEE CH<sub>4</sub>.

Our results are generally consistent with the numerous sitelevel studies that have attempted to correlate  $CH_4$  observations to environmental parameters such as water table depth, temperature, vegetation type,  $CO_2$  fixation and respiration rates, atmospheric  $O_3$ , and/or microbe/organic matter quality. A review paper by Jungkunst and Fiedler (2007) noted that most studies point to water table and soil temperature as strong controlling factors, and they further note that latitudinal trends suggest that anaerobic and aerobic decomposition are both important in boreal regions.

While the modified Bowen ratio study of Werner et al. (2003) showed precipitation explained a greater fraction of variance in regional NEE CH<sub>4</sub> than temperature in 1997–1998, our results support temperature as the primary driver at the monthly to seasonal timescale and precipitation, which may drive the availability of

substrate suitable for anaerobic decomposition as the most likely explanation for variation at the interannual scale. Enzyme kinetics of CH<sub>4</sub> production, primarily controlled by temperature, seem to drive most of the daily to seasonal scale variability, with an exponential dependence consistent with a recent report by Yvon-Durocher et al. (2014), Other studies have further confirmed the strong role of temperature for short-term CH<sub>4</sub> dynamics (Blodau et al., 2007; Tagesson et al., 2012; Rinne et al., 2007).

Hydrology and long-term moisture status appear to be the key controls for seasonal to annual variability of NEE CH<sub>4</sub>, *R*<sub>eco</sub> and GPP, consistent with a recent water-table manipulation study by Ballantyne et al. (2013). Thus, long-term changes in water table are expected to have a strong impact on wetland CH<sub>4</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub> emission ratios (Davidson and Janssens, 2006). Results at other sites concur that peatlands and tundra systems are particularly sensitive to water availability within the active layer (e.g., Hendriks et al., 2007; van Huissteden et al., 2005), and peatland drainage or restoration by flooding strongly influences CH<sub>4</sub> production (Merbold et al., 2009; Turetsky et al., 2008; Waddington and Day, 2007). Long-term declines in water table may lead to soil subsidence, community change, and invasion of upland species (Strack and Waddington, 2007; Sulman et al., 2013), significantly altering CH<sub>4</sub> production and oxidation.

Our results do not support net ecosystem photosynthesis (NEE, NPP, or GPP) as the primary controller on CH<sub>4</sub> net flux at the regional scale. The concept of a fixed ratio of GPP, NPP or NEE to CH<sub>4</sub> production or NEE that has been argued based on field measurement synthesis and process-based models (Potter, 1997; Walter and Heimann, 2000; Whiting and Chanton, 1993) is not apparent in the short term. The ratios of NEE CH<sub>4</sub> to NEE CO<sub>2</sub> observed here (~1%) at the annual timescale fall within values measured in short term experiments (<1–3%; King and Reeburgh, 2002; King et al., 2002; Megonigal et al., 1999). Whiting and Chanton (1993) call net ecosystem production (equivalent to NEE CO<sub>2</sub>) the "master variable" in controlling NEE CH<sub>4</sub>, suggesting that a fixed 3% of NEE CO<sub>2</sub> is emitted as NEE CH<sub>4</sub>. Clearly, even if this holds to be the case in general, variation around the value can be large and is timescale-dependent.

King et al. (2002) report on input of new substrate from GPP as a source of CH<sub>4</sub> emission, arguing that increased productivity provides greater labile substrate and increased transport. In contrast, greenhouse studies have shown that CH<sub>4</sub> emissions related to plant type tended to decrease with increasing plant biomass (Kao-Kniffin et al., 2010). While GPP does correlate with NEE CH<sub>4</sub> at our site, much of the correlation appears to be a co-varying effect of temperature on both processes at the seasonal scale. Short-term variations in GPP or NEE CO<sub>2</sub> do not correlate highly with NEE CH<sub>4</sub>, as the primary role of production is not to directly promote methanogenesis, but provide substrate, while redox conditions provide conditions favorable for CH<sub>4</sub> production. However, plants can serve as a conduit of CH<sub>4</sub>, and thus GPP may be a proxy for plant-mediated transport (King et al., 1998; Matthes et al., 2014). However, these results are difficult to interpret regionally, as the primary GPP signal is coming from forests in the flux footprint. Perhaps higher forest GPP implies greater export of carbon to the watershed, providing greater substrate for methanogenesis, which would require monitoring of aquatic and dissolved carbon.

Our results also showed a relatively high amount of short-time scale variation in NEE CH<sub>4</sub>, greater seasonal variation than for CO<sub>2</sub>, and an unusual diurnal pattern to CH<sub>4</sub> flux, with minimum fluxes in early to mid-morning. Several studies have argued that atmospheric pressure changes (Sachs et al., 2008) or shear turbulence (Wille et al., 2008) could drive episodic CH<sub>4</sub> emissions, and perhaps a venting effect (for the diurnal cycle) and synoptic pressure changes (for the weekly–monthly variation) are leading to the variation we observed. For example, storage fluxes of CH<sub>4</sub>

act in the opposite direction (negative) to turbulent flux (positive) during the day. It is the strong negative storage fluxes associated with atmospheric venting that drive the minima.

Mastepanov et al. (2008) observed CH<sub>4</sub> bursts before soil freezing in a tundra ecosystem. While our results also show a variety of emission spikes in winter and summer, we have yet to find any particularly strong correlation to barometric pressure, changes in atmospheric pressure, friction velocity magnitude (both above and below the filtering threshold), or other measures of processes that could lead to "pumping" of CH<sub>4</sub> from the soil and snow surface. Initial experimental tests involving melting snow and changing suction pressure with a static chamber did not reveal any significant variation in CH<sub>4</sub> fluxes. Fossil fuel combustion could be a source for CH<sub>4</sub>, but the timing of the bursts were not consistent with possible generator or traffic sources, which are quite limited in the flux footprint.

Despite the predominance of upland forest in the flux footprint, the site still is a net emitter of CH<sub>4</sub> in both years. Upland plants have not been shown to emit significant quantities of CH<sub>4</sub> in the field (Kirschbaum and Walcroft, 2008). Generally, upland soils promote methanotrophs and thus dry soils tend to consume CH<sub>4</sub> (Ullah and Moore, 2011). This rate is controlled primarily by diffusion processes in the soil (Ridgwell et al., 1999). A recent synthesis of micrometeorological CH<sub>4</sub> emission estimates in forests generally shows net CH<sub>4</sub> sources with an interquartile range of  $1.33-5.45 \text{ nmol CH}_4 \text{ m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$  (Nicolini et al., 2013). Another review of 120 papers on soil CH<sub>4</sub> consumption found no universal predictive ability of soil consumption by environmental drivers, but showed that coarser soils had the largest CH<sub>4</sub> uptake in temperate forests, with a mean uptake in temperate forests of  $428 \pm 2360 \text{ mg Cm}^{-2} \text{ yr}^{-1}$  (Dutaur and Verchot, 2007). This reported uptake is larger than the average observed in our plot-level chamber measurements in upland forests.

Our study site did include a few lakes in the landscape, and recent studies have argued that lakes and rivers may be large sources of CH<sub>4</sub> (Bastviken et al., 2011; Buffam et al., 2011; Grossart et al., 2011; Juutinen et al., 2009). Some evidence from chambers also suggests particularly large CH<sub>4</sub> flux variability at wetland–upland edges (unpublished data). Finally, winter emissions have generally been undersampled in most studies (Merbold et al., 2013), given logistical difficulty in measurement and assumption of small CH<sub>4</sub> fluxes. Our results also support limited CH<sub>4</sub> fluxes during periods of frozen soil and inactive vegetation. However, fluxes outside the growing season (May–Sept) still contributed 17% of the net annual flux, averaged over the two years, and thus cannot be neglected.

#### 4.4. Recommendations for simulations

Demand for quantification of regional  $CH_4$  balances is increasing (Luyssaert et al., 2012), and models are ultimately required to move from diagnosis to prediction. While several wetland and  $CH_4$  models exist (Cao et al., 1996; Melton et al., 2013; Petrescu et al., 2008; Potter, 1997; Sonnentag et al., 2008; Walter et al., 2001; Zhang et al., 2002; Zhuang et al., 2004), many only weakly constrain hydrology, and only a few also include upland  $CH_4$  biogeochemistry. Walter et al. (2001) review the most common approach, based on temperature, net primary production, substrate availability, and water table depth and show the importance of hydrologic drivers for latitudinal variation in  $CH_4$  efflux.

Our analysis of the commonly used DLEM model results revealed a general agreement between model and very tall tower observations on seasonal pattern, but lack of correspondence at shorter or longer timescales. Further, the regional model significantly overestimated  $CH_4$  emissions primarily due to differences in wetland extent in the regional (based on a cut-out of a continental model of greenhouse gas fluxes) versus site simulation (based on local meteorology and land cover), a common source of uncertainty for regional to global modeling of NEE CH<sub>4</sub> (Melton et al., 2013). Most models tend to show a strong sensitivity to water table (Petrescu et al., 2007), wetland extent (Ringeval et al., 2010), and vegetation decomposition rate (van Huissteden et al., 2009). Over North America, DLEM shows enhanced CH<sub>4</sub> emissions from increased climate variability, nitrogen deposition, and atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub>, with climate variability dominating interannual variability (Tian et al., 2010; Xu et al., 2010). Simple models that rely on a fixed CO<sub>2</sub> uptake to CH<sub>4</sub> emission ratio for a base amount and exponential temperature functions to capture seasonal or short-term variability (Potter et al., 2006) are likely to neglect the importance of variations in water table which can cause a site to shift between CH<sub>4</sub> source and CH<sub>4</sub> sink. Similar to the results here, other models have generally been unsuccessful at capturing short-term variability in CH<sub>4</sub> emissions (Petrescu et al., 2007; Zhang et al., 2012).

Wetland extent and methane emission datasets both lead to wide variation in modeled (Melton et al., 2013) and extrapolated (Petrescu et al., 2010) estimates. Further, scaling methane emissions as a function of GPP or NEE, as some models do, is not universal. While some sites show as much as 20% of  $CO_2$  uptake returned as methane emissions on a per mole basis (Rinne et al., 2007), the regional evaluation here showed only a fraction of a percent.

# 5. Conclusion

Our results confirmed the suitability of very tall towers for observation of regional  $CH_4$  fluxes. While mixed forest dominates the landscape and the net  $CO_2$  exchange budget, wetlands dominate the  $CH_4$  emission budget. However, uncertainty on our very tall tower flux measurement, owing to random uncertainty, lack of well-established gap filling protocols, and flux footprint variability all need better quantification in future studies to better constrain the components of the regional  $CH_4$  budget.

The net fluxes over two years showed modest  $CH_4$  emissions in the region, representing less than 1% of NEE  $CO_2$  in a productive mixed forest–wetland landscape. While individual fens or bogs can have large emission rates, as seen in some of our chamber flux observations, the region as a whole may be a minor contributor. We found that the landscape-scale  $CH_4$  fluxes positively correlate with temperature at diurnal to monthly timescales, similar to ecosystem respiration. However, from one year to the next, ecosystem respiration and net  $CH_4$  flux responded in opposite directions, reflecting the shifts in aerobic to anaerobic respiration that occur in wetlands with changes in moisture availability, the availability of organic substrates for decomposition, and the presence of living plants (e.g., sedge species) that can facilitate the exchange of gases between subsoil environments and the atmosphere.

Simple models that scale  $CH_4$  emissions with  $R_{eco}$  or NEE of  $CO_2$  are thus both spatial- and temporal-scale dependent. Interestingly, our results also showed higher  $CH_4$  fluxes from the tower than simple upscaling based on chambers but lower than flux tower studies in nearby fens, confirming the relatively high spatial variability of  $CH_4$  fluxes in the landscape. These results are contrary to a general assumption that chambers and plot-level studies always overestimate  $CH_4$  emissions due to their typical placement in ecosystems with high  $CH_4$  emission.

The regional flux time series was able to reveal limitations in modeling of short-term and interannual variability in  $CH_4$ emissions by a dynamic ecosystem model. While temperature and moisture appear to be the strongest controls of  $CH_4$  flux in the region, they have a clear timescale dependence. Our results suggest that models built on (1) temperature for short-term methane emission rate, (2) water table or moisture availability for long-term base emissions amount (or interannual variability), and (3) an estimate of wetland extent are most likely to successfully simulate regional methane fluxes. However, similar to other studies, we find models are unable to simulate short-term (sub-daily) variation in CH<sub>4</sub> emissions (Melton et al., 2013). Future work on decomposing the regional fluxes by land cover will further aid in developing appropriate metrics for evaluation of regional-scale simulations of CH<sub>4</sub> cycling.

While wetlands and other natural sources of  $CH_4$  are only 15–30% of the global  $CH_4$  budget, they are the largest source of variability and a major source of uncertainty for atmospheric chemistry, air quality, and climate models (Arneth et al., 2010). The vast majority of observational studies of  $CH_4$  emissions are made at the scale of a plot or individual ecosystem. Regional scale studies, like the one conducted here, can provide estimates of  $CH_4$  flux at a scale relevant to model evaluation.

# Acknowledgments

This work was supported by National Science Foundation (NSF) biology directorate grants DEB-0845166 and DBI-1062204. We also acknowledge the contributions of R. Strand and J. Ayers at State of Wisconsin Educational Communications Board, K. Davis at The Pennsylvania State University, and P. Bolstad at the University of Minnesota. Static chamber measurements were supported by NASA NACP Project # NNG05GD51G and the USDA Forest Service Northern Global Change program. Jonathan Kofler and Jonathan Williams were funded by NOAA to provide site and  $CO_2$  and  $CH_4$  profile instrument support. This project contributes to the North American Carbon Program. Any use of trade, firm, or product names is for descriptive purposes only and does not imply endorsement by the U.S. Government.

#### Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data associated with this article can be found, in the online version, at http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2014. 10.017.

#### References

- Andrews, A.E., Kofler, J.D., Trudeau, M.E., Williams, J.C., Neff, D.H., et al., 2014. CO<sub>2</sub>, CO, and CH<sub>4</sub> measurements from tall towers in the NOAA Earth System Research Laboratory's Global Greenhouse Gas Reference Network: instrumentation, uncertainty analysis, and recommendations for future highaccuracy greenhouse gas monitoring efforts. Atmos. Meas. Tech. 7, 647–687, http://dx.doi.org/10.5194/amt-7-647-2014.
- Arneth, A., Sitch, S., Butterbach-Bahl, K., Bondeau, A., de Noblet-Ducoudré, N., Foster, P., Gedney, N., Prentice, I.C., Sanderson, M., Thonicke, K., Wania, R., Zaehle, S., 2010. From biota to chemistry and climate: towards a comprehensive description of trace gas exchange between the biosphere and atmosphere. Biogeosciences 7, 121L 149.
- Baldocchi, D., Detto, M., Sonnentag, O., Verfaillie, J., Teh, Y.A., Silver, W., Kelly, N.M., 2012. The challenges of measuring methane fluxes and concentrations over a peatland pasture. Agric. For. Meteorol. 153, 177–187, http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1016/j.agrformet.2011.04.013.
- Ballantyne, D.M., Hribljan, J.A., Pypker, T.G., Chimner, R.A., 2013. Long-term water table manipulations alter peatland gaseous carbon fluxes in Northern Michigan. Wetlands Ecol. Manage. 22 (1), 35–47, http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/ s11273-013-9320-8.
- Bastviken, D., Tranvik, L.J., Downing, J.A., Crill, P.M., Enrich-Prast, A., 2011. Freshwater methane emissions offset the continental carbon sink. Science 331 (6013), 50, http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1196808.
- Bergamaschi, P., et al., 2010. Inverse modeling of European CH<sub>4</sub> emissions 2001–2006. J. Geophys. Res. 115 (D22), http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/2010JD014180.
- Berger, B.W., Davis, K.J., Yi, C., Bakwin, P.S., Zhao, C.L., 2001. Long-term carbon dioxide fluxes from a very tall tower in a northern forest: flux measurement methodology. J. Atmos. Ocean. Technol. 18 (4), 529–542.
- Billesbach, D.P., 2011. Estimating uncertainties in individual eddy covariance flux measurements: a comparison of methods and a proposed new method.

Agric. For. Meteorol. 151 (3), 394–405, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet. 2010.12.001.

- Blodau, C., Roulet, N., Heitmann, T., Stewart, H., Beer, J., LaFleur, P., Moore, T.R., 2007. Belowground carbon turnover in a temperate ombrotrophic bog. Global Biogeochem. Cycles 21, GB1021, http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/2005GB002659.
- Bloom, A.A., Palmer, P.I., Fraser, A., Reay, D.S., Frankenberg, C., 2010. Large-scale controls of methanogenesis inferred from methane and gravity spaceborne data. Science 327 (5963), 322–325, http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1175176.
- Bousquet, P., Ciais, P., Miller, J.B., Dlugokencky, E.J., Hauglustaine, D.A., Prigent, C., Van der Werf, G.R., Peylin, P., Brunke, E.-G., Carouge, C., Langenfelds, R.L., Lathiere, J., Papa, F., Ramonet, M., Schmidt, M., Steele, L.P., Tyler, S.C., White, J., 2006. Contribution of anthropogenic and natural sources to atmospheric methane variability? Nature 443 (28), 429–443.
- Buffam, I., Turner, M.G., Desai, A.R., Hanson, P., Rusak, J., Lottig, N.R., Stanley, E.H., Carpenter, S.R., 2011. Integrating aquatic and terrestrial components to construct a complete carbon budget for a north temperate lake district. Global Change Biol. 17 (2), 1193–1211, http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2486.2010.02313.x.
- Cao, M., Marshall, S., Gregson, K., 1996. Global carbon exchange and methane emissions from natural wetlands: application of a process-based model. J. Geophys. Res. D101, 14399–14414.
- Chen, Y.-H., Prinn, R.G., 2006. Estimation of atmospheric methane emissions between 1996 and 2001 using a three-dimensional global chemical transport model. J. Geophys. Res. 111, D10307, http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/2005JD006058.
- Chu, H., Chen, J., Gottgens, J.F., Ouyang, Z., John, R., Czajkowski, K., Becker, R., 2014. Net ecosystem methane and carbon dioxide exchanges in a Lake Erie coastal marsh and a nearby cropland. J. Geophys. Res. Biogeosci. 119, http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1002/2013JG002520.
- Collins, W.D., et al., 2006. Radiative forcing by well-mixed greenhouse gases: estimates from climate models in the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) Fourth Assessment Report (AR4). J. Geophys. Res. 111, D14, http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/2005JD006713.
- Crill, P., Bartlett, K., Roulet, N., 1993. Methane flux from boreal peatlands. Suo 43, 173L 182.
- Davidson, E.A., Janssens, I.A., 2006. Temperature sensitivity of soil carbon decomposition and feedbacks to climate. Nature 440, 165–173, http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1038/nature04514.
- Davis, K.J., Bakwin, P.S., Yi, C., Berger, B.W., Zhao, C., Teclaw, R.M., Isebrands, J.G., 2003. The annual cycles of CO<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>O exchange over a northern mixed forest as observed from a very tall tower. Global Change Biol. 9 (9), 1278–1293.
- Dengel, S., Zona, D., Sachs, T., Aurela, M., Jammet, M., Parmentier, F.J.W., Oechel, W., Vesala, T., 2013. Testing the applicability of neural networks as a gap-filling method using CH4 flux data from high latitude wetlands. Biogeosciences 10 (12), 8185–8200, http://dx.doi.org/10.5194/bg-10-8185-2013.
- Desai, A.R., Bolstad, P.V., Cook, B.D., Davis, K.J., Carey, E.V., 2005. Comparing net ecosystem exchange of carbon dioxide between an old-growth and mature forest in the upper midwest, USA. Agric. For. Meteorol. 128, 33L 55.
- Desai, A.R., Noormets, A.N., Bolstad, P.V., Chen, J., Cook, B.D., Davis, K.J., Euskirchen, E.S., Gough, C.M., Martin, J.G., Ricciuto, D.M., Schmid, H.P., Tang, J.W., Wang, W., 2008a. Influence of vegetation and seasonal forcing on carbon dioxide fluxes across the Upper Midwest, USA: implications for regional scaling. Agric. For. Meteorol. 148 (2), 288–308, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2007.08.001.
- Desai, A.R., Richardson, A.D., Moffat, A.M., Kattge, J., Hollinger, D.Y., Barr, A., Falge, E., Noormets, A., Papale, D., Reichstein, M., Stauch, V.J., 2008b. Cross site evaluation of eddy covariance GPP and RE decomposition techniques. Agric. For. Meteorol. 148 (6–7), 821–838, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2007.11.012.
- Desai, A.R., 2014. Influence and predictive capacity of climate anomalies on daily to decadal extremes in canopy photosynthesis. Photosynth. Res. 119, 31–47, http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11120-013-9925-z.
- Detto, M., Verfaillie, J., Anderson, F., Xu, L., Baldocchi, D., 2011. Comparing laserbased open- and closed-path gas analyzers to measure methane fluxes using the eddy covariance method. Agric. For. Meteorol. 151 (10), 1312–1324, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2011.05.014.
- Dlugokencky, E.J., et al., 2009. Observational constraints on recent increases in the atmospheric CH<sub>4</sub> burden. Geophys. Res. Lett. 36 (18), 1–5, http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1029/2009GL039780.
- Yvon-Durocher, G., Allen, A.P., Bastviken, D., Conrad, R., Gudasz, C., St-Pierre, A., Thanh-Duc, N., del Giorgio, P.A., 2014. Methane fluxes show consistent temperature dependence across microbial to ecosystem scales. Nature 507, 488–491, http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature13164.
- Dutaur, L., Verchot, L., 2007. A global inventory of the soil CH<sub>4</sub> sink. Global Biogeochem. Cycles 21, GB4013, http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/2006GB002734.
- Eugster, W., Plüss, P., 2010. A fault-tolerant eddy covariance system for measuring CH4 fluxes. Agric. For. Meteorol. 150 (6), 841–851, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/ j.agrformet.2009.12.008.
- Fowler, D., Hargreaves, K.J., Sibka, U., Milne, R., Zahinser, M.S., Monrieff, J.B., Beverland, I.J., Gallagher, M.W., Ineson, P., Garland, J., Johnson, C., 1995. Measurements of CH<sub>4</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O fluxes at the landscape scape using micrometeorological methods? Philos. Trans.: Phys. Sci. Eng. 351 (1696), 339–356.
- Friborg, T., Soegaard, H., Christensen, T.R., Lloyd, C.R., Panikov, N.S., 2003. Siberian wetlands: where a sink is a source. Geophys. Res. Lett. 30 (21), 2129, 2003GL017797.
- Grossart, H.-P., Frindte, K., Dziallas, C., Eckert, W., Tang, K.W., 2011. Microbial methane production in oxygenated water column of an oligotrophic lake. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 108 (49), 19657–19661, http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas. 1110716108.

- Hargreaves, K.J., Fowler, D., Pitcairn, C.E.R., Aurela, M., 2001. Annual methane emission from Finnish mires estimated from eddy covariance campaign measurements. Theor. Appl. Climatol. 70, 203L 213.
- Hatala, J.A., Detto, M., Sonnentag, O., Deverel, S.J., Verfaillie, J., Baldocchi, D.D., 2012. Greenhouse gas (CO<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>4</sub>, H<sub>2</sub>O) fluxes from drained and flooded agricultural peatlands in the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta. Agric. Ecosyst. Environ. 150, 1–18, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2012.01.009.
- Hendriks, D.M.D., van Huissteden, J., Dolman, A.J., van der Molen, M.K., 2007. The full greenhouse gas balance of an abandoned peat meadow. Biogeosciences 4, 411–424, http://dx.doi.org/10.5194/bg-4-411-2007.
- Hendriks, D.M.D., Dolman, A.J., van der Molen, M.K., van Huissteden, J., 2008. A compact and stable eddy covariance set-up for methane measurements using off-axis integrated cavity output spectroscopy. Atmos. Chem. Phys. 8, 431–443, http://dx.doi.org/10.5194/acp-8-431-2008.
- Hendriks, D.M.D., Huissteden, J.V., Dolman, A.J., 2010. Multi-technique assessment of spatial and temporal variability of methane fluxes in a peat meadow. Agric. For. Meteorol. 150 (6), 757–774, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2009.06.017.
- Herbst, M., Friborg, T., Ringgaard, R., Soegaard, H., 2011. Interpreting the variations in atmospheric methane fluxes observed above a restored wetland. Agric. For. Meteorol. 151 (7), 841–853, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2011.02.002.
- Hiller, R.V., Zellweger, C., Knohl, A., Eugster, W., 2012. Flux correction for closed-path laser spectrometers without internal water vapor measurements. Atmos. Meas. Tech. Discuss. 5 (1), 351–384, http://dx.doi.org/10.5194/amtd-5-351-2012.
- Iwata, H., Kosugi, Y., Ono, K., Mano, M., Sakabe, A., Miyata, A., Takahashi, K., 2014. Cross-validation of open-path and closed-path eddy-covariance techniques for observing methane fluxes. Bound.-Layer Meteorol. 151 (1), 95–118, http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10546-013-9890-2.
- Jungkunst, H.F., Fiedler, S., 2007. Latitudinal differentiated water table control of carbon dioxide, methane and nitrous oxide fluxes from hydromorphic soils: feedbacks to climate change. Global Change Biol. 13, 2668–2683, http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2486.2007.01459.x.
- Juutinen, S., Rantakari, M., Kortelainen, P., Huttunen, J.T., Larmola, T., Alm, J., Silvola, J., Martikainen, P.J., 2009. Methane dynamics in different boreal lake types. Biogeosciences 6, 209–223, http://dx.doi.org/10.5194/bg-6-209-2009.
- Kao-Kniffin, J., Freyre, D.S., Balser, T.C., 2010. Methane dynamics across wetland plant species. Aquat. Bot. 93, 107–113, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.aquabot. 2010.03.009.
- Kim, J., Verma, S.B., Billesbach, D.P., 1998. Seasonal variation in methane emission from a temperate Phragmites-dominated marsh: effect of growth stage and plant mediated transport. Global Change Biol. 5, 433L 440.
- King, J.Y., Reeburgh, W.S., 2002. A pulse-labeling experiment to determine the contribution of recent plant photosynthates to net methane emission in arctic wet sedge tundra. Soil Biol. Biochem. 34, 173–180.
- King, J.Y., Reeburgh, W.S., Regli, S.K., 1998. Methane emission and transport by sedges in Alaska: results of a vegetation removal experiment. J. Geophys. Res. 102, 29083–29092.
- King, J.Y., Reeburgh, W.S., Thieler, K.K., Kling, G.W., Loya, W.M., Johnson, L.C., Nadelhoffer, K.J., 2002. Pulse-labeling studies of carbon cycling in Arctic tundra ecosystems: the contribution of photosynthates to methane emission. Global Biogeochem. Cycles 16 (4), 1062, http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/2001GB001456.
- Kirschbaum, M.U.F., Walcroft, A., 2008. No detectable aerobic methane efflux from plant material, nor from adsorption/desorption processes. Biogeosciences 5, 1551–1558, http://dx.doi.org/10.5194/bg-5-1551-2008.
- Kroon, P., Hensen, A., Jonker, H., Zahniser, M., Van't Veen, W., Vermeulen, A., 2007. Suitability of quantum cascade laser spectroscopy for CH<sub>4</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O eddy covariance flux measurements. Biogeosciences 4, 715–728, http://dx.doi.org/ 10.5194/bg-4-715-2007.
- Kroon, P.S., Hensen, A., Jonker, H.J.J., Ouwersloot, H.G., Vermeulen, A.T., Bosveld, F.C., 2010. Uncertainties in eddy covariance flux measurements assessed from CH<sub>4</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O observations. Agric. Forest Meteorol. 150 (6), 806–816, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2009.08.008.
- Levy, P.E., et al., 2012. Methane emissions from soils: synthesis and analysis of a large UK data set. Global Change Biol. 18 (5), 1657–1669, http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1111/j.1365-2486.2011.02616.x.
- Liu, M., Tian, H., Yang, Q., Yang, J., Song, X., Lohrenz, S.E., Cai, W.-J., 2013. Longterm trends in evapotranspiration and runoff over the drainage basins of the Gulf of Mexico during 1901–2008. Water Resour. Res. 49, 1988–2012, http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/wrcr.20180.
- Long, K.D., Flanahan, L.B., Cai, T., 2009. Diurnal and seasonal variation in methane emissions in a northern Canadian peatland measured by eddy covariance. Global Change Biol. 16, 2420–2435, http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/ j.1365-2486.2009.02083.x.
- Luyssaert, S., et al., 2012. The European land and inland water CO<sub>2</sub>, CO, CH<sub>4</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O balance between 2001 and 2005. Biogeosciences 9 (8), 3357–3380, http://dx.doi.org/10.5194/bg-9-3357-2012.
- Mastepanov, M., Sigsgaard, C., Dlugokencky, E.J., Houweling, S., Ström, L., Tamstorf, M.P., Christensen, T.R., 2008. Large tundra methane burst during onset of freezing. Nature 456 (7222), 628–630, http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature07464.
- Matthes, J.A., Sturtevant, C., Verfaillie, J., Knox, S., Baldocchi, D., 2014. Parsing the variability in CH<sub>4</sub> flux at a spatially heterogeneous wetland: Integrating multiple eddy covariance towers with high-resolution flux footprint analysis. J. Geophys. Res. Biogeosci. 119, 1322–1339, http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/2014JG002642.
- Matthews, E., Fung, I., 1987. Methane emissions from natural wetlands: global distribution, area, and environmental characteristics of sources. Global Biogeochem. Cycles 1, 61–86, http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/GB001i001p00061.

- McDermitt, D., Burba, G., Xu, L., Anderson, T., Komissarov, A., Riensche, B., Schedlbauer, J., Starr, G., Zona, D., Oechel, W., Oberbauer, S., Hastings, S., 2011. A new low-power, open-path instrument for measuring methane flux by eddy covariance. Appl. Phys. B 102, 391–405.
- Megonigal, J.P., Whalen, S.C., Tissue, D.T., Bovard, B.D., Albert, D.B., Allen, A.S., 1999. A plant-soil-atmosphere microcosm for tracing radio-carbon from photosynthesis through methanogenesis. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J. 63, 665–671.
- Melton, J.R., Wania, R., Hodson, E.L., Poulter, B., Ringeval, B., et al., 2013. Present state of global wetland extent and wetland methane modelling: conclusions from a model inter-comparison project (WETCHIMP). Biogeosciences 10, 753–788, http://dx.doi.org/10.5194/bg-10-753-2013.
- Merbold, L., Kutsch, W.L., Corradi, C., Kolle, O., Rebmann, C., Stoy, P.C., Zimov, S.A., Schulze, E.-D., 2009. Artificial drainage and associated carbon fluxes (CO2/CH4) in a tundra ecosystem. Global Change Biol. 15 (11), 2599–2614, http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2486.2009.01962.x.
- Merbold, L., Steinlin, C., Hagedorn, F., 2013. Winter greenhouse gas fluxes (CO<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>4</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O) from a subalpine grassland. Biogeosciences 10, 3185–3203, http://dx.doi.org/10.5194/bg-10-3185-2013.
- Mikaloff Fletcher, S.E., Tans, P.P., Bruhwiler, L.M., Miller, J.B., Heimann, M., 2004. CH<sub>4</sub> sources estimated from atmospheric observations of CH4 and its <sup>13</sup>C/<sup>12</sup>C isotopic ratios: 2. Inverse modeling of CH<sub>4</sub> fluxes from geographical regions. Global Biogeochem. Cycles 18, GB4005, http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/2004GB002224.
- Miller, S.M., Wofsy, S.C., Michalak, A.M., Kort, E.A., Andrews, A.E., et al., 2013. Anthropogenic emissions of methane in the United States. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 110, 20018–20022, http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1314392110.
- Nicolini, G., Castaldi, S., Fratini, G., Valentini, R., 2013. A literature overview of micrometeorological CH<sub>4</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O flux measurements in terrestrial ecosystems. Atmos. Environ. 81, 311–319, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv. 2013.09.030.
- Olson, D.M., Griffis, T.J., Noormets, A., Kolka, R., Chen, J., 2013. Interannual, seasonal, and retrospective analysis of the methane and carbon dioxide budgets of a temperate peatland. J. Geophys. Res. Biogeosci. 118 (1), 226–238, http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1002/jgrg.20031.
- Pelletier, L., Moore, T.R., Roulet, N.T., Garneau, M., Beaulieu-Audy, V., 2007. Methane fluxes from three peatlands in the La Grande Rivière watershed, James Bay lowland, Canada. J. Geophys. Res. 112 (G01018), http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1029/2006/G000216.
- Petrescu, A.M.R., van Huissteden, J., Jackowicz-Korczynski, M., Yurova, A., Christensen, T.R., Crill, P.M., Bäckstrand, K., Maximov, T.C., 2007. Modelling CH<sub>4</sub> emissions from arctic wetlands: effects of hydrological parameterization. Biogeosciences 5, 111–121, http://dx.doi.org/10.5194/bg-5-111-2008.
- Petrescu, A.M.R., van Huissteden, J., Jackowicz-Korczynski, M., Yurova, A., Christensen, T.R., Crill, P.M., Backstrand, K., Maximov, T.C., 2008. Modelling CH<sub>4</sub> emissions from arctic wetlands: effects of hydrological parameterization. Biogeosciences 5, 111–121.
- Petrescu A.M.R., van Beek, L.P.H., van Huissteden, J., Prigent, C., Sachs, T., Corradi, C.A.R., Parmentier, F.J.W., Dolman, A.J., 2010. Modeling regional to global CH<sub>4</sub> emissions of boreal and arctic wetlands. Global Biogeochem. Cycles 24 (4), GB4009, http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/2009GB003610.
- Potter, C.S., 1997. An ecosystem simulation model for methane production and emission from wetlands. Global Biogeochem. Cycles 11, 495L 506.
- Potter, C.S., Klooster, S., Hiatt, S., Fladeland, M., Genovese, V., Gross, P., 2006. Methane emissions from natural wetlands in the United States: satellite-derived estimation based on ecosystem carbon cycling? Earth Interact. 10 (22), 1–11.
- Pypker, T.G., Moore, P.A., Waddington, J.M., Hribljan, J.A., Chimner, R.C., 2013. Shifting environmental controls on CH<sub>4</sub> fluxes in a sub-boreal peatland. Biogeosciences 10 (12), 7971–7981, http://dx.doi.org/10.5194/bg-10-7971-2013.
- Reeburgh, W.S., King, J.Y., Regli, S.K., Kling, G.W., Auerbach, N.A., Walker, D.A., 1998. A CH<sub>4</sub> emission estimate for the Kuparuk River basin, Alaska. J. Geophys. Res. 103, 29 (005–29,013).
- Ridgwell, A.J., Marshall, S.J., Gregson, K., 1999. Consumption of atmospheric methane by soils: a process-based model. Global Biogeochem. Cycles 13 (1), 59–70, http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/1998GB900004.
- Ringeval, B., De Noblet-Ducoudré, N., Ciais, P., Bousquet, P., Prigent, C., Papa, F., Rossow, W.B., 2010. An attempt to quantify the impact of changes in wetland extent on methane emissions on the seasonal and interannual time scales. Global Biogeochem. Cycles 24 (2), GB2003, http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/2008GB003354.
- Rinne, J., Riutta, T., Pihlatie, M., Aurela, M., Haapanala, S., Tuovinen, J., Tuittila, E.-S., Vesala, T., 2007. Annual cycle of methane emission from a boreal fen measured by the eddy covariance technique. Tellus B 59 (3), 449–457, http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0889.2007.00261.x.
- Roulet, N.T., Moore, T., Bubier, J., Lafleur, P., 1992. Northern fens: methane flux and climate change. Tellus B 44, 100L 105.
- Sabrekov, A.F., Runkle, B.R.K., Galgolev, M.V., Kleptsova, I.E., Maksyutov, S.S., 2014. Seasonal variability as a source of uncertainty in the West Siberian regional CH<sub>4</sub> flux upscaling. Environ. Res. Lett. 9, 045008, http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/ 1748-9326/9/4/045008.
- Sachs, T., Wille, C., Boike, J., Kutzbach, L., 2008. Environmental controls on ecosystem-scale CH<sub>4</sub> emission from polygonal tundra in the Lena River Delta, Siberia. J. Geophys. Res. 113, G00A03, http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/2007JG000505.
- Salesky, S.T., Chamecki, M., Dias, N.L., 2012. Estimating the random error in eddy-covariance based fluxes and other turbulence statistics: the filtering method. Bound.-Layer Meteorol. 144 (1), 113–135, http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/ s10546-012-9710-0.
- Schrier-Uijl, A.P., Kroon, P.S., Hensen, A., Leffelaar, P.A., Berendse, F., Veenendaal, E.M., 2010. Comparison of chamber and eddy covariance-based CO<sub>2</sub> and CH<sub>4</sub>

emission estimates in a heterogeneous grass ecosystem on peat. Agric. For. Meteorol. 150 (6), 825–831, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2009.11.007.

- Shoemaker, J.K., Keenan, T.F., Hollinger, D.Y., Richardson, A.D., 2014. Forest ecosystem changes from annual methane source to sink depending on late summer water balance. Geophys. Res. Lett. 41, 673–679, http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1002/2013GL058691.
- Shurpali, N.J., Verma, S.B., 1998. Micrometeorological measurements of methane flux in a Minnesota peatland during two growing seasons. Biogeochem. 40, 1–15.
- Smeets, C., Holzinger, R., Vigano, I., Goldstein, A., Röckmann, T., 2009. Eddy covariance methane measurements at a Ponderosa pine plantation in California. Atmos. Chem. Phys. 9 (21), 8365–8375, http://dx.doi.org/10.5194/ acp-9-8365-2009.
- Sonnentag, O., Chen, J.M., Roulet, N.T., Ju, W., Govind, A., 2008. Spatially explicit simulation of peatland hydrology and carbon dioxide exchange: influence of mesoscale topography. J. Geophys. Res. 113, G02005, http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/ 2007JG000605.
- Spahni, R., et al., 2011. Constraining global methane emissions and uptake by ecosystems. Biogeosciences 8 (6), 1643–1665, http://dx.doi.org/10.5194/ bg-8-1643-2011.
- Strack, M., Waddington, J.M., 2007. Response of peatland carbon dioxide and methane fluxes to a water table drawdown experiment. Global Biogeochem. Cycles 21, GB1007, http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/2006GB002715.
- Strack, M., Waddington, J.M., 2008. Spatiotemporal variability in peatland subsurface methane dynamics. J. Geophys. Res. 113, G02010, http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1029/2007[G000472.
- Sulman, B.N., Desai, A.R., Cook, B.D., Saliendra, N., Mackay, D.S., 2009. Contrasting carbon dioxide fluxes between a drying shrub wetland in Northern Wisconsin, USA, and nearby forests. Biogeosciences 6, 1115–1126, http://dx.doi.org/ 10.5194/bg-6-1115-2009.
- Sulman, B.N., Desai, A.R., Mladenoff, D., 2013. Modeling soil and biomass carbon responses to declining water table in a wetland-rich landscape. Ecosystems 16, 491–507, http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10021-012-9624-1.
- Suyker, A.E., Verma, S.B., Clement, R.J., Billesbach, D.P., 1996. Methane flux in a boreal fen: season-long measurement by eddy correlation. J. Geophys. Res. 101, 28637–28647.
- Tagesson, T., Mölder, M., Mastepanov, M., Sigsgaard, C., Tamstorf, M.P., Lund, M., Falk, J.M., Lindroth, A., Christensen, T.R., Ström, L., 2012. Land-atmosphere exchange of methane from soil thawing to soil freezing in a high-Arctic wet tundra ecosystem. Global Change Biol. 18 (6), 1928–1940, http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1111/j.1365 2486.2012.02647.x.
- Tang, J., Zhuang, Q., Shannon, R.D., White, J.R., 2010. Quantifying wetland methane emissions with process-based models of different complexities. Biogeosciences 7 (11), 3817–3837, http://dx.doi.org/10.5194/bg-7-3817-2010.
- Tian, H.Q., Xu, X., Liu, M., Ren, W., Zhang, C., Chen, G., Lu, C., 2010. Spatial and temporal patterns of CH<sub>4</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O fluxes in terrestrial ecosystems of North America during 1979–2008: application of a global biogeochemistry model. Biogeosciences 7, 2673–2694.
- Turetsky, M.R., Treat, C.C., Waldrop, M.P., Waddington, J.M., Harden, J.W., Mcguire, A.D., 2008. Short-term response of methane fluxes and methanogen activity to water table and soil warming manipulations in an Alaskan peatland. J. Geophys. Res. 113, G00A10, http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/2007JG000496.
- Ullah, S., Moore, T.R., 2011. Biogeochemical controls on methane, nitrous oxide, and carbon dioxide fluxes from deciduous forest soils in eastern Canada. J. Geophys. Res. 116, G3010, http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/2010JG001525.
- van Huissteden, J., Maximov, T.C., Dolman, A.J., 2005. High methane flux from an arctic floodplain (Indigirka lowlands, eastern Siberia). J. Geophys. Res. 110, G02002, http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/2005JG000010.
- van Huissteden, J., Petrescu, A.M.R., Hendriks, D.M.D., Rebel, K.T., 2009. Sensitivity analysis of a wetland methane emission model based on temperate and arctic wetland sites. Biogeosciences 6, 3035–3051, http://dx.doi.org/ 10.5194/bg-6-3035-2009.
- Waddington, J., Day, S., 2007. Methane emissions from a peatland following restoration. J. Geophys. Res. 112, G03018, http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/2007JG000400.
- Walter, B.P., Heimann, M., 2000. A process-based, climate-sensitive model to derive methane emissions from natural wetlands: application to five wetland sites, sensitivity to model parameters, and climate. Global Biogeochem. Cycles 14, 745–765.
- Walter, B.P., Heimann, M., Matthews, E., 2001. Modeling modern methane emissions from natural wetlands: 2. Interannual variations 1982–1993. J. Geophys. Res. 106, 34207–34219, http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/2001]D900164.
- Wang, W., Davis, K.J., Ricciuto, D.M., Butler, M.P., 2006. An approximate footprint model for flux measurements in the convective boundary layer. J. Atmos. Ocean. Technol. 23, 1384L 1394.
- Webb, E.K., Pearman, G.I., Leuning, R., 1980. Correction of flux measurements for density effects due to heat and water vapor transfer. Q. J. R. Meteorol. Soc. 106, 85–100.
- Weishampel, P., Kolka, R.K., 2008. Measurement of methane fluxes from terrestrial landscapes using static, non-steady state enclosures. In: Hoover, C.M. (Ed.), Field Measurements for Forest Carbon Monitoring: A Landscape Scale Approach. Springer, New York, NY.
- Werner, C., Davis, K.J., Bakwin, P., Yi, C., Hurst, D., Lock, L., 2003. Regionalscale measurements of CH<sub>4</sub> exchange from a tall tower over a mixed temperate/boreal lowland and wetland forest. Global Change Biol. 9, 1251L 1261.
- Whiting, G.J., Chanton, J.P., 1993. Primary production control of methane emission from wetlands. Nature 364, 794–795.

- Wille, C., Kutzbach, L., Sachs, T., Wagner, D., Pfeiffer, E.-M., 2008. Methane emission from Siberian arctic polygonal tundra: eddy covariance measurements and modeling. Global Change Biol. 14, 1395–1405, http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1111/j.1365-2486.2008.01586.x.
- Xu, X.F., Tian, H.Q., Zhang, C., Liu, M.L., Ren, W., Chen, G.S., Lu, C.Q., Bruhwiler, L., 2010. Attribution of spatial and temporal variations in terrestrial methane flux over North America. Biogeosciences 7, 3637–3655, http://dx.doi.org/ 10.5194/bg-7-3637-2010.
- Yu, J., Sun, W., Liu, J., Wang, J., Yang, J., Meixner, F.X., 2007. Enhanced net formations of nitrous oxide and methane underneath the frozen soil in Sanjiang wetland, northeastern China. J. Geophys. Res. 112, D07111, http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1029/2006JD008025.
- Yu, L., Wang, H., Wang, G., Song, W., Huang, Y., Li, S.-G., Liang, N., Tang, Y., He, J.-S., 2013. A comparison of methane emission measurements using eddy covariance and manual and automated chamber-based techniques in

Tibetan Plateau alpine wetland. Environ. Pollut. 181, 81–90, http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1016/j.envpol.2013.06.018.

- Zhang, Y., Li, C., Trettin, C.C., Li, H., Sun, G., 2002. An integrated model of soil, hydrology, and vegetation for carbon dynamics in wetland ecosystems. Global Biogeochem. Cycles 16 (4), 1061, http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/2001GB001838.
- Zhang, Y., Sachs, T., Li, C., Boike, J., 2012. Upscaling methane fluxes from closed chambers to eddy covariance based on a permafrost biogeochemistry integrated model. Global Change Biol. 18, 1428–1440, http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/ j.1365-2486.2011.02587.x.
- Zhuang, Q., McGuire, A.D., Steudler, P.A., Felzer, B.S., Hu, S., Melillo, J.M., Kicklighter, D.W., Prinn, R.G., 2004. Methane fluxes between terrestrial ecosystems and the atmosphere at northern high latitudes during the past century: a retrospective analysis with a process-based biogeochemistry model. Global Biogeochem. Cycles 18 (GB3010), 1–23.

